

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 21-605V

UNPUBLISHED

KEITH ROGERS,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: April 27, 2021

Motion for decision; dismissal.

Bridget C. McCullough, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for Petitioner.

Heather L. Pearlman, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION¹

On January 12, 2021, Keith Rogers filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. § 300aa—10 through 34,² (the “Vaccine Act”). Mr. Rogers alleged that he suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) from an influenza vaccine he received on January 12, 2019. ECF No. 1 at 1.

On April 19, 2021, Mr. Rogers filed a motion for a decision dismissing his petition. ECF No. 7. For the reasons set forth below, Mr. Rogers’ motion is **GRANTED**, and this case is hereby **DISMISSED**.

In his petition, Mr. Rogers alleged that that he received the influenza vaccination at a Walgreens Pharmacy but did not otherwise detail any of his symptoms or medical treatment. ECF No. 1. Petitioner’s counsel advised in a declaration that she had prematurely filed the petition due to a potential Vaccine Table amendment that would no

¹ Although I have not formally designated this Decision for publication, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website because it contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

longer allow Mr. Rogers to allege a Table SIRVA claim. The February 5, 2021 PAR Initial Order required Mr. Rogers to file all the statutorily required documents, including an affidavit and medical records supporting the vaccination, pre-vaccination treatment, and post-vaccination treatment. ECF No. 5.

Mr. Rogers received an extension of time but never filed an affidavit, any medical records or other supporting documents.

On April 19, 2021, Mr. Rogers filed a motion for a decision dismissing his petition stating that “[a]n investigation into the facts supporting this case has demonstrated to Petitioner that he will be unable to prove that he is entitled to compensation in the Vaccine Program.” ECF No. 7.

To receive compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, a petitioner must prove either 1) that the vaccinee suffered a “Table Injury” – *i.e.*, an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table – corresponding to one of the vaccinations, or 2) that the vaccinee suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. See §§ 300aa—13(a)(1)(A) and 300aa—11(c)(1). Mr. Rogers alleged that he sustained a SIRVA Table Injury.

Under the Vaccine Act, a petitioner may not receive compensation based solely on the petitioner’s claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. § 300aa—13(a)(1). For a Table Injury, among other requirements, a petitioner must establish with supporting documentation that he received a covered vaccine, that he sustained a covered injury, and that he suffered the effects of this injury for at least six months. § 300aa—11(c)(1). Mr. Rogers has not submitted any medical records to establish these preliminary requirements. Moreover, Mr. Rogers admitted in his motion for a decision that he will not be able to prove his claim.

Thus, petitioner has failed to establish entitlement to compensation in the Vaccine Program. **This case is dismissed for insufficient proof. The clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.**³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ If Petitioner wishes to bring a civil action, he must file a notice of election rejecting the judgment pursuant to § 21(a) “not later than 90 days after the date of the court’s final judgment.”